



4 waves of Regulation's... challenges & tools...

Jean-Michel Glachant, Director FSR IIT Kanpur – Center for Energy Regulation 11 October 2018



To stay young... for ever!



- -- -- -- Two Big Classics of Public Regulation -- -- --
- 1st Layer: To submit (Natural Monopolies) to Social Welfare
- 2nd Layer: To implement Political Economy of (Universal Service)
- -- -- -- Two Revolutions of Public Regulation:
 Industry Structure, Technology, Market Arrangements -- -- --
- 3nd Layer: To coordinate (Unbundled Grids) & (Market Design)
- 4th Layer: To innovate with (<u>Decentralization</u>) + (<u>Digitalization</u>)

- 1st Layer: To submit (Natural Monopolies) to Social Welfare
- -- -- -- 1880' & 1900' (Railways) -- -- -- 1930' & 40' (Electricity)
- 2nd Layer: To implement Political Economy of (Universal Service)
- -- -- Two Revolutions of Public Regulation: Industry Structure, Technology, Market Arrangements -- -- --
- -- -- -- **1980' & 1990'**
- 3nd Layer: To coordinate (Unbundled Grids) & (Market Design)
- ----- 2010'
- 4th Layer: To innovate with (Decentralization) + (Digitalization)

• 1st Layer: Social Welfare with natural monopolies

- 1- Monopoly of essential facility: as a bridge on a river.
- 2- Utility for society is usage, then pricing. Monopoly price not good for society.

3- Society will price better: fair price for owner & fair price for users. Average Price? Marginal Price? Recovery of Fixed costs?>> Regulated cost of service.

France Railways: discrimination pricing. 1 price for flowers; 1 price for coal. 1 price for 3d Class, for 2nd Class, for 1st Class

• 2nd Layer: Political Economy of Universal Service

- 1- Investments: where to put bridges & rails? > Universal Service
- 2- Price discrimination: why to discriminate? > Postal Stamp
- *3-* Postal stamp is average pricing *>Social tariffs*
- 4- Quality of service: why to discriminate? > Universal Quality
- 5- Further Technology choice & innovation >How compatible with Universal Service & Postal Stamp?

- 3nd Layer: Coordinating (Unbundled Grids) & (Market Design)
 1- To do Unbundling "Infrastructure facility" from "Final services"
 ➢ Unbundled grids (~ / ~) > Independent Market
- 2- To do Unbundling "Regulation" from "Political economy"
- Independent regulators (~ / ~)
- 3- Then one can go to "Incentive Regulation"
- > and faced its "*seams*": the *coordination issue*.

What's coordination issue? "Essential facility / Final service"

My hotel room in Australia: Access is not only pricing > Transaction Costs

Lovely ⁽²⁾ Aussie ⁽²⁾ plugs... seen by a continental EU visitor



What's coordination issue? "Essential facility / Final service"

My hotel room in Washington: Access is not only pricing > Transaction Costs

Lovely [©] NorteAmericana [©] plugs... seen by a continental EU visitor



What's coordination issue? "Essential facility / Final service"

My hotel room in New Delhi: Access is not only pricing > Transaction Costs

Lovely ⁽²⁾ NorteAmericana ⁽²⁾ plugs... seen by a continental EU visitor



• **3nd Layer:** Coordinating (Unbundled Grids) & (Market Design)

3- We did go to "Incentive Regulation", and faced its "seams"

4- Rules for <u>Infrastructures</u> interact with "<u>Market for final services</u>" via "**System Operation**"> *Grid Codes (Capacity calc. & allocation, Congestion man., Balancing)*5- "System operation <u>neutrality</u>" is "market design" sensitive: "Zonal" vs "Nodal

6- Revenge of Ronald Coase & Oliver Williamson: Markets need "<u>infrastructures</u> <u>for transaction</u>"- Market Transaction <**calls for**>Industry Coordination

7- Regulation still needed BUT very far from 'Natural Monopoly Pricing' + 'Universal Service' > another type of rules needed

> Need regulation to make market work on the basis of "<u>system operation</u>".
In the EU >> <u>EU Market Design</u> is implemented via <u>Network Codes</u>

• 4th Layer: Innovating with two Big Bangs (Decentralization) + (Digitalization)

1- Decentralizing "Big Bang".

<u>New Generation</u> technology shift: (1st: French nuclear plant 1,700MW - Coal Plant 500/1,000MW - CCGT 300MW) ~/~ (2nd: Wind mills by MW - PV Panels by KW) This can be pushed by decarbonisation (new public policy) > (new kind of Regulation): EU, Maryland, California. <u>Or not</u>: State of Texas, Chile, Mexico. Etc. "<u>Utility</u> Wind & Solar" becoming very cheap, can beat coal: India; or gas: US (And more to come: V. Sivaram -2018- *Taming the Sun. Innovations to Harness Solar Energy & Power the Planet*) <u>Consumption</u> technology shift: <u>Aggregation</u>. Multiple small consumption units are gathered, & become new offer which enters wholesale market as "virtual units" <u>Storage</u> revolution (in between) started, growing, challenging system operation

2- Digitalization is parallel "Big Bang".

1st wave digitalization ("mainframes", smart meters) supported <u>wholesale</u> market
 2nd wave digitalization (+ new smart grids) supports new <u>decentralization</u> shift
 (S. Vadari - 2018- Smart Grid Redefined. Transformation of the Electric Utility)

Why Decentralization & Digitalization are twins...

1/ Decentralization changes size & scope of assets; then their operation, & the decision making

<u>New Ownership structure</u> <:> New Operation rules for assets <:> New Governance structure for industry

2/ Digitalization changes information, control, & decision making; then operation of assets, services they deliver > new decision making:

<u>New Technology frame</u> <: Information, Control, & Decision Making:> New Operation Rules & New Services <:> New Governance structure



1/ Decentralization brings two children

*End of *single centralized Utilities;* substituting multiple players

**End of <u>single centralized System Operation</u>; substituting multiple levels of control & optimization

2/ Digitalization brings two children

*Setting *rules outside traditional Electricity Sector* regulation (as Aggregators playing with "*Behind the Meter*")

**<u>Coordination of new 'digitalized' tasks</u> within <u>Electricity Delivery Loop</u>
>Remember 1st wave: Coordinating Transmission & Wholesale
>2nd wave > Amazon "Delivery Loop"

= the "Distribution Grid Platform"

which is where *prosumers* + *prosumagers* + all other *Behind the Meter* enter the El.system

1/ Decentralization has two focal points *Ending <u>centralized Utilities</u>

**Ending <u>centralized System</u> <u>Operation</u>

2/ Digitalization has two focal points

*Setting *rules outside El. Sector* regulation

**Coordinating digital tasks with
<u>El. Delivery loop</u> (Amazon "Delivery
Loop" = Distribution Grid Platform)



Interactions between twins: Decentralization - Digitalization

Decentralization opens two streams of changes

1*Distributed Generation expands,
with new "Utility Scale Renewables",
down to distributed Prosumers (PV or Wind PPAs), Prosumagers (Storage),
& other "<u>Behind the Meter</u>" (electric Cars; smart buildings)

2*Aggregators & Platforms (2-sided markets)

offer new ways of coordinating decentralized units (Down to "<u>Sharing Economy</u>" Platforms = **Peer2Peer**) **Decentralization** suports two streams of changes

1*Distributed Generation

expands to distributed **Prosumers** (PV or Wind PPAs), **Prosumagers** (Storage), & more "<u>Behind the Meter</u>" (electric Cars; smart buildings)

2*Platforms & Integrators offer new ways of coordinating the decentralized units, down to "<u>Sharing Economy</u>" Platforms (*P2P*)



Digitalization favors two streams of changes

1/ Playing from outside Traditional Sector:

Fleets of "*Behind the Meter* devices" can be gathered & controlled to be managed as system smart assets (think electric car fleets; or "*zero net consumption*" buildings)

2/ New ways of coordinating decentralized units,

thanks to Agregators & Platforms **P2P**; down to **Blockchain** networks (a Blockchain network is **P2P** with NO intermediary, with NO UBER between Peer-2-Peer).

3/ BUT WITHIN "Amazon Delivery Loop" constraint

It is the needed / desired "Distribution Grid Platform"

 the Ignacio Perez-Arriaga "Utility of the Future" when perfectly set up (MIT Report 2016); or New York "Distribution Platform" project (Sioshansi Fereidoon 2017; 2019) **Digitalization** favours two streams of changes

*Out of Sector gathering the "Behind the Meter devices" to manage them as smart assets (think electric car fleets)

**Agregators & Platforms offer new ways of coordinating the decentralized,

Down to Blockchain networks (NO intermediary, NO UBER between Peer2Peer)

***But "Amazon Delivery Loop" constraint (Distribution Grid Platform)



Decentralization & Digitalization strongly interact

1/ Because they have similar streams of changes
>* "Behind the Meter" targets of "smart assets"
>>**Aggregators & Platforms P2P offering entry to the "El. Amazon Loop"
delivery constraint

2/Both 2Ds (Decentralization & Digitalization) touch upon tasks, assets, operation, apps, integrators, platforms

>> <u>Up to Governance</u>

with new types of players, as

*Communities of Peers **Clubs of Partners ***Smart Local Authorities



Decentralization & *Digitalization* strongly interact

Because they have two similar streams of changes *The "**Behind the Meter**" targets of smart assets **Platforms & Integrators facing the "**El. Amazon Loop**" delivery constraint

They touch upon tasks, assets, operation, apps, integrators, platforms

>> <u>Up to Governance</u>:

*Communities of Peers **Clubs of Partners ***Smart Local Authorities



3/ Conclusions: a lot of challenges for industry, industry regulation, and regulators

<:> New <u>Coordination Tasks</u> Beyond "Utilility regulation"

+ "Behind the Meter" activities

<:> New <u>Incentive Regulation</u>: needed to favour <u>structural</u> business innovations <u>Innovation Business Models <through> Regulatory Frames</u>



Dhanyavad!

Email : jean-michel.glachant@eui.eu

Tweeting no Twitter: @JMGlachant >44 000 tweets

Web site: http://fsr.eui.eu/

