Reliability standards and generation adequacy assessments for interconnected electricity systems

Nicolas Astier Marten Ovaere

Stanford University Ghent University & Yale University

FSR Annual Conference
June 10, 2021

Power outages are one of the main sources of public and political attention to the electricity sector



(source: Google trends)

Many countries implement heavy-handed policies that aim at ensuring *generation adequacy*



Source: ACER based on ENTSO-E's 2019 MAF.

Generation adequacy assessments and reliability standards

Generation adequacy assessments: determine if the generation fleet meets a **national reliability standard**? If not, how much electricity generation capacity should be installed?

If we define:

$$\text{Loss of load expectation (LOLE)} \equiv \Pr\left[\text{load} > \text{available capacity}\right]$$

then, a reliability standard is generally specified as:

$$\text{LOLE} \leq \hat{\alpha}$$

$$= 2.4/3/4/8 \text{ hours per year}$$

What is the optimal LOLE target for one country in isolation?

What is the optimal LOLE target for one country in isolation?

$$LOLE^* = \hat{\alpha} \equiv \frac{CONE_{fixed}}{VoLL - CONE_{var}}$$

What is the optimal LOLE target for one country in isolation?

$$LOLE^* = \hat{\alpha} \equiv \frac{CONE_{fixed}}{VoLL - CONE_{var}}$$



What is the optimal LOLE target for one country in isolation?

$$LOLE^* = \hat{\alpha} \equiv \frac{CONE_{fixed}}{VoLL - CONE_{var}}$$ Turvey (1968)



Research question

Does it still make sense to enforce a national reliability standard in an interconnected power system?

In particular:

- Should we update/replace the national reliability standard?
- How should countries coordinate their adequacy assessments?

Generation adequacy assessments approaches in an interconnected electricity system

- **Old approach:** each country determines how much it will install, making assumptions on available imports from neighbors in times of scarcity.
- **Optimal approach:** Determine centrally how much capacity to install in every sub-region, taking into account the full power system.

However national decision makers may be reluctant to transfer this responsibility to a supra-national level, because of the high economic, social, and political stakes.

Example of Europe

- Following the adoption of the Clean Energy Package (Regulation (EU) 2019/943), a regional adequacy assessment has to be implemented by the European Network of Transmission System Operators by the end of 2023.
- This single assessment will determine the need for generation capacity investments in the different countries simultaneously, based on national LOLE targets provided by Member States.

$\Rightarrow$ Does/can such a hybrid approach remain consistent with social welfare maximization?
$\Rightarrow$ In the European context, can ACER's current proposal for generation adequacy assessments be improved?

Intuition of theoretical model: Lost-load region



Intuition of theoretical model: Key take aways

Take away 1: Regional coordination



Intuition of theoretical model: Key take aways

Take away 2: Need to internalize external adequacy benefits



Intuition of theoretical model: Key take aways

Take away 3: Autarky reliability standard need not be removed



Application to Western Europe: scenarios

We compare 4 approaches to generation adequacy assessments in an interconnected power system:

	Neighbor contribution considered	Capacity determined jointly	Internalize external adeq. benefits
Autarky			
National	✓		
Regional	✓	✓	
Optimal	✓	✓	✓

Results of numerical application for 11 European countries (target LOLE=3 hours)

	Autarky	National	Regional	Optimal
Total costs (M€/year)	23,395	24,590	22,446	22,436
Total installed capacity (GW)	389.9	361.3	372.2	372.3
Average realized LOLE	0.1	15	3	2

Main take-aways:

- In the absence of regional coordination, national adequacy assessments can backfire (i.e. yield a worse outcome than just installing autarky capacities);
- In terms of getting total system costs right, regional coordination appears to be more important than correctly internalizing external adequacy benefits in national LOLE computations.

Conclusion

Research question: Does/can the enforcement of a national reliability standard still make sense in an interconnected power system?

- We show theoretically that the social optimum may still be reached with national reliability standards under **two conditions**:
  1. Optimal installed generation capacity for each country should be determined jointly, while considering the full power system;
  2. LOLE calculations in generation adequacy assessments should fully internalize external adequacy benefits occurring throughout the full power system.

- We run a numerical application for Europe that suggests that regional coordination matters the most.

Data

Table: Summary statistics of hourly load [MW] in the 11 studied countries.

Country	Observations	Mean	P95	$K^*_{autarky}$	Maximum
Belgium	35,064	9,973	12,216	13,506	13,670
Denmark	35,057	3,740	4,997	5,638	5,819
France	35,040	54,040	75,280	92,433	94,492
Germany-Austria-Luxembourg	35,064	70,037	89,770	96,788	98,259
Great Britain	35,058	35,893	48,360	56,544	57,388
Ireland	34,899	3,132	4,077	4,798	4,901
Italy	35,064	33,096	45,077	53,212	55,157
Netherlands	35,064	12,557	16,686	18,692	19,272
Portugal	35,064	5,669	7,283	8,500	8,732
Spain	35,047	28,684	36,084	40,232	41,015
Switzerland	34,990	6,697	8,474	9,826	9,968

Note: Germany load is aggregated with Austria and Luxembourg. Some observations are missing or where ignored because they differed more than 50% from their day-ahead forecast.

Data

Table: Median NTC [MW] for each border between the 11 studied countries.

From \ To	BE	DK	FR	DE-AT-LU	GB	IE	IT	NL	PT	ES	CH
Belgium			800					1,300			
Denmark				885							
France	2,100			1,800	2,000		2,686			2,400	3,000
Germany-AT-LU		2,090	1,400				275	1,468			2,336
Great Britain			2,000			780		1,016			
Ireland					750						
Italy			995	100							1,810
Netherlands	1,200			1,468	1,016						
Portugal										2,900	
Spain			2,050						2,000		
Switzerland			1,200	5,200			2,961				

Note: missing observations were replaced by the median value of NTC for the corresponding interconnection.

ACER (2020). Methodology for the European resource adequacy assessment.