

Hedging and the Temporal Permit Issuance in Cap-and-Trade Programs: The Market Stability Reserve under Risk Aversion

Oliver Tietjen, Kai Lessmann and Michael Pahle

FSR Climate Annual Conference - November 2019

Until 2017: "Supply-Demand-Imbalance" in the EU ETS



- Economic crisis etc., but fundamentals can only party explain ETS prices (e.g. Friedrich et al. 2019)
- Instead: policy and (financial) market failures

Since 2018: Price Hike



Key points of ETS reform:

- 1. Higher Linear Reduction Factor (LRF)
- 2. Market Stability Reserve (MSR):
 - I. More permits are shifted to the future
 - **II.** Cancellation of permits

After 2020: ?



This work:

- 1. Does the bank affects prices ?
- ➢ yes, if permits have hedging value
- 2. Does the MSR lead to a sustainable price increase ?
- ➤ Cancellation: yes
- ➤ Shifting of permits: no.



Theoretical Approach

Standard ETS model (e.g. Rubin 1996):

- Representative firm maximizes expected discounted profits
- Price growth at discount rate



This work:

time

- Dirty (coal) and (relative) clean (gas, renewables) firms produce good (electricity)
- Electricity market is regulated by an ETS with banking
- (regulatory) uncertainty about future permit supply creates demand for hedging
- risk counterparties (speculators) require risk premium for trading with regulated firms

Theoretical Model: Permit Trading under Risk Aversion



Theoretical Model

Firm problem

$$\max_{\zeta_{it}, y_{it}, I_{Kit}, I_{Lit}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} E\left[U_{it}\left(\pi_{it}\right)\right]$$

subject to

P

$$\begin{split} 1 \geq \zeta_{it} \geq 0 \quad I_{Kit} \geq 0 \quad b_{it} \geq 0 \\ k_{it} &= (1 - \delta) \, k_{it-1} + I_{Kit-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Plant capacity} \\ b_{it} &= b_{it-1} + y_{it} - k_{it} \zeta_{it} \phi_i & \longrightarrow & \text{Permit banking} \\ l_{it} &= (1 + r) \, l_{it-1} + I_{Lit} & \longrightarrow & \text{Risk-free asset} \end{split}$$

Theoretical Model

Assuming a simplified two-period model, firms bank as follows:



In equilibrium, the growth rate of the ETS price is:

 $\frac{E\left[p_2\right] - p_1}{p_1} = r + q_1$

time-dependent risk premium

P I K

Theoretical Model

The risk premium:

$$q_{1} = \frac{\Lambda}{p_{1}} \left(Cov \left[\pi_{d,2}^{plant}, p_{2} \right] + Cov \left[\pi_{c,2}^{plant}, p_{2} \right] + Var \left[p_{2} \right] B_{1} \right)$$
Hedging demand of firms price risk price risk ETS permit bank

- Hedging demand of dirty firms decreases over time: risk premium increases
- Regulator affects price level and growth rate by temporal allocation of permits



Numerical Application: The EU ETS and the Reformed MSR



Electricity sector of EU ETS:

- One representative dirty coal and one relative clean gas firm
- 2020 to 2100 in five year steps
- MSR adjusts (annual) supply:

$$S_{y}^{M} = \begin{cases} S_{y} - \min(\gamma_{y}B_{y-1}; S_{y}) & if B_{y-1} > 0.833 \ Gt \\\\ S_{y} + \min(0.1; M_{y-1}) & if B_{y-1} < 0.400 \ Gt \\\\ S_{y} & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

• MSR level:

$$M_y = M_{y-1} + M_y^{in} - M_y^{out} - \max\left(M_y - S_{y-1}^M; 0\right)$$

P









permit price

Cancellations

- In general, lower discount rate, more cancellations
- Assumed risk-free rate: 3%
- Cancellations in RN case: 7.6 Gt
- Cancellations in RA case: 8.59 Gt
- hedging of permits reinforces cancellation



Conclusion

If firms are risk averse, and risk allocation is inefficient (regulatory risk)...

... permits have hedging value that depends on bank level

... hedging and permit shifting to future (MSR) leads to

- 1. higher short-term price
- 2. lower growth rate of permit price
- 3. more MSR cancellation



Thank you!

oliver.tietjen@pik-potsdam.de



References

Friedrich, M., Mauer, E., Pahle, M., Tietjen, O. (2019): From fundamentals to financial assets: the evolution of understanding price formation in the EU ETS. EconStor working paper.

Rubin, J. (1996): A Model of Intertemporal Emission Trading, Banking, and Borrowing. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 31 (3), 269 – 286.

