DIGITALISATION AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES

NICOLAS FOURRIER SNCF







THE TRANSPORT SECTOR IS FULLY IMPACTED BY DIGITAL

- Customer expectations (information availability, distribution/ticketing, door-to-door mobility needs)
- ▶ Opportunities for RU : big data about customers, operational/business perspective (fraud prevention, near field communication,...)
- ▶ Industrial perspective: rolling stock, infrastructure and signalling.



The opportunity of digital for mobilities

30% of internet requests

Are for transport and trips

75% of the travellers

Use their smartphones before or during the travel

80% of the online buyers

Déclare they take care of the online opinions and 68 % trust the opinions, posted by other customers

Source: Nielsen Survey 2013



COMPARE PRICES ON INTERNET

1^{er} action of French people when they buy a trip

Source : baromètre Raffour Interactif - 2014

A STRONG POLITICAL WILL AT BOTH EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL LEVELS

- → A strong consensus on the need to integrate information and distribution of rail services:
 - **7** "White Paper" (2011)
 - Market pillar of 4th RP (debate on the mandatory nature)
 - ▼ EP Report "Koch" (2015): integrated multimodal ticketing
 - → "Digital single European Railway Area", EC Discussion Paper
- The French case: four different law projects under way create new rules for open data, making it difficult to design a long-term vision: extent of the scope, large principle of free data, public service



THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF OPEN DATA

- ▶ Risk of disintermediation: at last distinguishing public services (PSO, public data in relation to public transport authorities) and open access services (train capacities and prices in real time)
- Real-time/disturbed situations: not possible to do without the RU know-how
- ▶ Freemium model is appropriate. If not, strong GAFA risk. They will never invest in rail infrastructure
- A "level playing field" between the different modes (long-distance bus services, car-sharing)



THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF DISTRIBUTION

- ▶ PSO Authorities are responsible for distribution. For historic reasons, they invested in different ticketing systems
- ▶ For open access, demand requires 1/ door-to-door services, 2/ multimodal comparison.
- Limited demand for cross-border ticketing interoperability except for local transport
- Sometimes, same standards for ticketing (Lille area and Wallonia).

 Cross-border common rate on a single ticketing support.

 But difficult to achieve.
- ▶ Best goal = interoperability. Different standards but same NFC support on one contact-less ticketing card because this technology does not evolve quickly



REGULATION, A BOTTOM-UP APPROACH IS NECESSARY

- → Only physical distribution is directly in the scope of regulation: the website of the incumbent RU isn't an "essential facility".
- Shared responsibility between different levels (including regional and local) and different actors
- A top-down "one-size-fits-all" mobility solution is not the solution. Dynamic nature of the transport market (technology goes fast)
- ▶ Interoperability solutions on a voluntary basis : Full service model (FSM),

 Smart Ticketing Alliance (STA)
- **▶** Tasks for public authorities:

 - → Provide incentives to pilot projects, to develop and apply standards



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION





